1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	WESTERN DISTRICT OF MISSOURI CENTRAL DIVISION
3	INITED CTATES OF AMEDICA
4	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)
5	Plaintiff,) Case No. vs.) 17-CR-04091-SRB
6	BRANDON DAVID CUDDIHE,)
7	Defendant.)
8	
9	CENTENCING HEADING
10	SENTENCING HEARING BEFORE THE HONORABLE STEPHEN R. BOUGH THURSDAY, MAY 9, 2019
11	JEFFERSON CITY, MISSOURI
12	
13	APPEARANCES
14	For the Plaintiff: MS. ASHLEY S. TURNER
15	United States Attorney's Office 80 Lafayette Street, Suite 2100 Jefferson City, Missouri 65101
16	For the Defendant: MR. BRADY D. WIMER
17	Abbott & Wimer LLC
18	555 North Route B Hallsville, Missouri 65255
19	
20	
21	
22	Gayle M. Wambolt, RMR, CRR
23	U.S. Court Reporter, Room 7552 Charles Evans Whittaker Courthouse
24	400 East Ninth Street Kansas City, MO 64106 (816) 512-5641
25	1

Gayle M. Wambolt, CCR No. 462 Registered Merit Reporter

1	THURSDAY, MAY 9, 2019
2	THE COURT: We're here on the case of United States
3	of America v. Brandon Cuddihe. Is that how you pronounce
4	that?
5	THE DEFENDANT: Cuddihe.
6	THE COURT: Cuddihe. 17-4091. May I have
7	appearances by the parties, please.
8	MS. TURNER: Ashley Turner for the United States.
9	THE COURT: Ms. Turner.
10	MR. WIMER: Brady Wimer for Mr. Cuddihe, Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: Mr. Wimer.
12	Ms. Turner, ma'am, have you had an opportunity to
13	review the presentence report and make any objections?
14	MS. TURNER: I have, and the government has no
15	objections.
16	THE COURT: And same question for you, Mr. Wimer.
17	MR. WIMER: We have no objections either, Your
18	Honor.
19	THE COURT: Very good. Let me ask you some
20	questions, sir.
21	Sir, have you had an opportunity to review the
22	presentence report?
23	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
24	THE COURT: And have you had an opportunity to
25	discuss the presentence report with your lawyer?

-	
1	THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
2	THE COURT: Is there anything in the PSR that you
3	believe is incorrect or wrong?
4	THE DEFENDANT: No, Your Honor.
5	THE COURT: Very good. You may be seated. Thank
6	you.
7	Total offense level is 43, criminal history category
8	of 1 resulting in a guideline range of 720 months basically
9	with no less than 15 and no more than 30 on both of the two
10	counts, Count 1 and Count 2.
11	Does everybody agree that's what we're talking
12	about?
13	MS. TURNER: Yes, Your Honor.
14	MR. WIMER: Yes, that's accurate.
15	THE COURT: Let me tell you what I've read so that
16	we know we're all on the same page. I've read the sentencing
17	memoranda that have been provided by the parties, and I read
18	the letters that were submitted by the defense, including a
19	letter handwritten letter from the defendant.
20	I understand we're going to have some evidence from
21	the Facebook post; is that right, Ms. Turner?
22	MS. TURNER: Yes, briefly.
23	THE COURT: Very good. For your evidence and your
24	recommendation.
25	MS. TURNER: Thank you, Judge. 3

Your Honor, as noted in our sentencing memorandum, we are recommending a total of 360 months on Count 1 and 2 to be served concurrently with one another. The reason why we're asking for such a high sentence is, frankly, the number of victims that this defendant has victimized is something that is not what we usually see in these types of cases and not what we usually see when we're before you dealing with a production of child pornography case.

2.3

One thing that the government was particularly concerned about, and we're not saying that Mr. Cuddihe is not entitled to his three-level reduction for acceptance of responsibility because we read the letters. We've read how he has interacted with his family members and how his fiance has remained supportive.

Mr. Cuddihe has not shown that he appreciates the gravity of what he has done and is not owning up to the reasoning as to why he committed these offenses. I have read the forensic psychological evaluation, and one thing that is concerning is Mr. Cuddihe seems to create an excuse as to why he conducted his — why his conduct was the way it was. He reinforced that excuse when he wrote his letter to this court.

There were probably over 2,000 pages of the Hannah Richards' fake profile that Mr. Cuddihe utilized to victimize at least a dozen known minor victims, and there were dozens $\frac{1}{4}$

more that we couldn't identify but appeared through the text 1 2 messages and through the pictures that were sent to be a minor 3 or at least representing themselves to be a minor. 4 THE COURT: What was the timeframe of that expanse? 5 MS. TURNER: The timeframe that we're able to see 6 from the Facebook, the earliest messages were in May, but it 7 seems that the -- of 2016. But it seems that Mr. Cuddihe 8 really ramps up, and from July of 2016 until December of 2016 9 when he was caught by female victim two's mother, that's the 10 time period that he was communicating specifically to minors 11 in a sexual manner. And that's where the excerpts come in. 12 There's some communications in July of 2016 with BM, 13 who was 13 at the time and 14 when she was interviewed by the 14 FBI in New York. I wanted to show -- this is just one excerpt 15 of hundreds of pages to show that this was more than what 16 Mr. Cuddihe represented to the psychologist during the 17 forensic examination. 18 There's discussion of specifically requesting 19 photographs that don't have to do with defecation or urination 20 and also indication that Mr. Cuddihe is masturbating at the 21 time when he's talking to her. That is Government's Exhibit 22 2. 23 I also have an excerpt from female victim two's 24 conversation. I wanted to show the type of grooming process 25 that the defendant went through in order to gain these

children's trust, and I would like to remind the court that 1 female victim two was ten years old at the time he was talking 3 to her. He says things like, OMG, I love you and will you be 4 5 my girlfriend. A ten-year-old child, first of all, cannot 6 fully or even scratch the surface of understanding sexuality 7 in the way that the defendant was using her in order to 8 satisfy his sexual needs, and, second, to play with these children's emotions and to get into their heads. 9 10 One thing I think -- I put this in my sentencing 11 memorandum is that these children did not know they were 12 talking to an adult male. They believed they were talking to 13 a peer. They believed this was someone they could trust. 14 They had no idea they were being exploited. Once they became 15 aware of that exploitation, I just can't even imagine what 16 that would feel like when you think that you're talking to a 17 trusted friend or another child and then find out that it's 18 really a grown man satisfying his sexual needs. So I'd like to show the court, if I may approach, 19 20 Government's Exhibits 1 and 2, and I have shown them to counsel. 21 22 MR. WIMER: No objection. THE COURT: 1 and 2 are admitted. 2.3 24 Ms. Turner, what evidence do you have of 25 distribution? 6

MS. TURNER: Your Honor, specifically -- well, as with some of the counts that were dismissed, they involve the distribution of child pornography. Mr. Cuddihe, when acting as if he were Hannah Richards, portrayed himself as either a 13-year-old, 14-year-old, or 16-year-old depending on the age of the child that he was exploiting, and he would send images -- pornographic images that looked like self-produced images from an age -- that was consistent with the age that he was portraying himself.

So in the situation with FB2, he sent a video of a young girl defecating to kind of try to encourage female victim two to produce a video. Female victim two relied on that and did end up producing a video of her urinating on the floor in addition to multiple other pictures of her vaginal area, her breasts. In the excerpt -- I'm not sure if it's in the excerpt I sent you, but at least with BM, he asked the child to put her legs over her head and take a picture of her vagina and her anus.

Also one of the victims was K. R., and that was one of the victims that was not one of the charged victims, but was a victim that was interviewed by the FBI. Mr. Cuddihe took her identity. K. R. sent a picture of her breasts and a picture of her in underwear. While this would not meet the definition of child pornography, he did assume this child's identity who, I believe, was 14 at the time. She was a very

attractive young woman, and he sent that picture to 17 other 1 individuals in order to make them feel more comfortable kind of like I'll show you yours, you show me mine type situation. 3 Otherwise, in Mr. Cuddihe's interview with FBI 4 5 agents, he explained that he -- besides the picture of K. R., 6 he would kind of go to amateur pornography sites, find 7 pictures of children that he believed were under age and would basically hold himself out to be that child. So that was his 9 MO. 10 He would go on a Facebook -- go on Facebook, try to 11 friend a bunch of people on a friends list, make it look like 12 he was friends with a child's friends, and start personal 13 messaging them and say, Hey, I think you're cute, let's be 14 lesbians together. And as noted, some of the victims were as 15 young as ten years old. Or would say I'm bisexual, let's 16 experiment, and then would assume the identity of a young 17 female and exchange pictures. So very disturbing behavior and 18 was very prolific. 19 I did also -- I know that risk -- potential risk and future risk is something, one of the things that the court 20 needs to weigh, and I know that the forensic psychological 21 22 evaluation talks about Mr. Cuddihe not technically being a 23 pedophile. The DSM-V basically shows that over a period of at 24 least six months, recurrent, intent sexually arousing

fantasies, sexual urges, or behaviors involving sexual

25

activity with prepubescent child or children, the person has acted on these urges or the sexual urges or fantasies cause marked distress and interpersonal difficulty and the person is at least 16 years and at least five years older than the child or children in criterion A. We know for a fact that beginning in July, he was talking with young children up until December of 2016. The defendant admits the only reason he stopped these communications was because he was caught and was afraid of getting in trouble. We have no way of knowing whether he continued to have these fantasies or urges.

2.3

I will submit to the court even if he is not clinically diagnosed as a pedophile, the alternative explanation he has given is just as disturbing because he has had this sexual need and desire that is so intense, that he went out and victimized dozens of children without any consideration that they were real children with real feelings who were really being traumatized, and he was doing this for his own sexual satisfaction.

I find that just as disturbing as whether or not he's a pedophile, because he intentionally victimized children. So I find that he is a risk. I find that this is just incredibly disturbing behavior that absolutely needs to be punished.

While he may be remorseful, the amount of children that he has affected, and it may come up and it may -- we

don't know how much it's affecting their lives. It's one of 1 2 those things that they're always going to know for their 3 entire lives that they were exploited and victimized. Sometimes being remorseful isn't enough, and I think the 4 5 amount of children, the amount of victims here justifies --6 the nature of his conduct justifies the 30-year sentence that 7 the government is asking for. THE COURT: Thank you. 9 MS. TURNER: Thank you. 10 MR. WIMER: Thank you, Judge. 11 Judge, I don't think any of us would disagree, 12 including my client, that what happened in this case was, you 13 know, completely unacceptable to anyone in society. I've had 14 this case for a while, gone through the evidence, know it 15 pretty well, and it's even troubling to go through when you're 16 discussing that with the client, some of the things that 17 transpired here. 18 I don't necessarily agree with the government's position that he hasn't accepted responsibility. Whatever his 19 20 -- what he thinks might be the genesis for what started this behavior, I guess that's up for debate, but I think in his 21 22 letter to the court, he did express remorse and is sincere about it. We've had enough discussions to realize what 23 24 happened here was completely unacceptable. 25 He understands -- I don't think he understood at the 10

time, which in a lot of criminal events, a lot of people don't understand the potential ramifications of their behavior at the time they're doing it, and obviously he understands that now, has admitted to all the conduct, apologized for all the conduct, and understands that he's going to have to serve probably a lengthy sentence for the conduct.

I requested -- well, the statute itself, Judge, is inherently very firm with people, even first-time offenders.

This is a guy with no criminal history, no real predisposition to this type of behavior. Apparently he was obviously working, got into drinking, and was -- just got completely, completely out of control after he started getting responses from these people. Not that that's any sort of a justification, it's not, but it just sort of spiraled into a complete disaster, not only for himself, but, I mean, all the people that he contacted. And we know there were a lot.

I think that's probably the crux of this case and I think the biggest concern. I understand the government's position is truly the amount of people that he ended up contacting that, you know, that they found evidence of, and he understands that now. Not so much at the time.

But I requested the court impose the 180-month minimum on both counts concurrent. He's going to be late forties if he is released on that. He's going to have time to engage in programs and corrective behavior while he's

incarcerated. That statute is designed to punish people harshly, even first-time offenders, people with no history.

He pled guilty to, you know, counts that carry a 15-year mandatory minimum straight up. Anything over and above that was reserved for whatever the court thinks, you know, the offense merits.

I did go back in my memo, pulled about ten cases or so over the last couple of years where the average sentence was roughly around 19 years with varying degrees of conduct. Some were just contacting people such as he was doing. Some involved physical contact, sexual abuse. There's a varying nature of circumstances regarding child pornography cases or sexual abuse cases.

In his case I pointed out a few of the things that did not happen. Not to minimize his conduct but there was no enticement to meet people or physical contact or anything of that nature. He just went completely off the reservation in contacting these people and is here today because of it and is not going home any time soon because of it, and he's aware of that. I think he's accepted responsibility and is going to deal with whatever the court imposes on him.

I asked him if he wanted to make a statement, but he said that he was just going to stand basically on what he wrote in his letter to the court and didn't want to belabor the point, repeat everything. So I think I've said my piece,

1 and we're ready to move forward. 2 THE COURT: Mr. Cuddihe, would you join him up here 3 at the podium. 4 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. 5 THE COURT: Sir, I need to ask you as well, make 6 sure you know you have the right to tell the court anything 7 you want. I have read your letter, but I need to give you 8 that option. 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. I understand that. 10 THE COURT: Very good. You choose not to make a 11 statement, just rely upon your letter? 12 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Sir, pursuant to 18 U.S.C., 3553, this 14 court is required to impose a sentence that is sufficient but 15 not greater than necessary to comply with the provisions of 16 that statute. I've considered the advisory guidelines. I've 17 considered the 3553 factors. 18 We've talked pretty extensively in this hearing 19 about the nature and circumstances of your offense. By all 20 accounts, they're an affront to all humanity. The young girls 21 that you decided to prey upon are perfect and innocent and 22 worthy of protection by every human on the face of the Earth. 23 That's what we as humans, we as mammals do is protect the 24 young. 25 I read your letter. I know you mentioned your

remorse for the victims. I think what's so surprising about this crime, I understand your argument that you were working hard in Florida, and by all the letters, you're a hard worker, but you would go to your hotel room and you'd get drunk and you'd do these things.

But all of these interactions, and I understand there's something about a screen that separates us from our humanity, but you're interacting and can actually see pictures of these perfect, innocent, little people. And so it's not like a blog. It's not just an email. There are little girls living out your sexual fantasy, and I tell you one thing I just don't believe. There's enough weirdness out in the world and there's something for everybody that there's not -- wasn't an adult woman who would satisfy those desires if those were truly your desires. In my mind you're targeting underage children for a reason, either because they're more easily manipulated, which you did really good at, or that was part of your fantasy.

So those are the nature and circumstances of the offense, and like almost everyone who comes before us on a child pornography case, you have a criminal history category 1, which means nothing. You've done nothing criminal in your past. These letters are always helpful because they show a whole other side to a human, and we all have that line that goes through every human heart, either good or bad. There's

obviously some wonderful things about you.

I also wonder how you got so off path given the large amount of people who obviously love you and support you and would probably do anything for you if you had only asked. So now we're left with me having to come up with a sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense and promote respect for the law, and that's where for me the guidelines are important in a case like this.

The guidelines are designed to tell us here's what a sentence normally should be within and a range of that, because, especially in child pornography cases, our natural human inclination is not mercy in any way. When I think of those young ladies who will eternally have that kind of in their history of who they are and what they experienced, there's nothing I can do to give justice. There's nothing I can do. Those young ladies for the rest of their life are going to be carrying that burden.

It's the judgment of this court that the defendant is sentenced to the Bureau of Prisons for 360 months on each count to run concurrent. I find that you do not have the means to pay a fine and waive any fine as required by law, order a special assessment in the amount of \$200, 30 years of supervised release to follow.

Is there any dispute on the \$3,000 request for restitution?

1 MR. WIMER: No. We stipulate to that, Judge. 2 \$3,000 in restitution. Waive the JVTA THE COURT: 3 special assessment. While on supervised release, you shall comply with 4 5 all standard conditions of supervised release that have been 6 adopted by this court as well as the special and mandatory 7 conditions of supervision as set forth in part D of the presentence report. 9 To the extent that you've not waived your right to 10 appeal this judgment and sentence pursuant to the plea 11 agreement you've entered in this case, you have 14 days from 12 the entry of judgment in your case to file a notice of appeal. 13 If you do not file a notice of appeal within 14 days of the 14 date of the judgment, you will forever lose your right to 15 appeal. If you cannot afford to file a motion to appeal, you 16 can file a motion to proceed in forma pauperis. If you so 17 request, the clerk of the court shall immediately prepare and 18 file a notice of appeal on your behalf. 19 Anything additional from the government? 20 MS. TURNER: Yes, Your Honor. The government would dismiss Counts 3 and 7 of the indictment -- 3 through 7. 21 22 THE COURT: That will be granted. 2.3 Anything additional from the defense? 24 MR. WIMER: No. 25 THE PROBATION OFFICER: Your Honor, if I may, the 16

1	probation office presented a recommendation he be placed at a
2	BOP facility that has the Sexual Offender Treatment Program.
3	THE COURT: I'll make that recommendation.
4	THE PROBATION OFFICER: Thank you, Judge.
5	THE COURT: Thank you. We'll be in recess.
6	* * * * * * * * *
7	REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE
8	
9	I certify that the foregoing pages are a correct
10	transcript from the record of proceedings in the
11	above-entitled matter.
12	
13	
14	Date Registered Merit Reporter
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	17
	⊥ /